

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER  
IPC 7 B65D5/66 B65D5/02

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 7 B65D

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	GB 2 371 289 A (FIELD GROUP PLC) 24 July 2002 (2002-07-24)	1-28, 32, 36-40, 42-44, 46-48, 63, 67, 68, 70, 72, 75-77, 83-85
Y	figures	31, 34, 41, 86-93
	----- -/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

- \*A\* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- \*E\* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- \*O\* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- \*P\* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- \*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- \*X\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- \*Y\* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- \*Z\* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

8 September 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

15/09/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Bridault, A

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	BE 410 524 A (MINIDRÉ) 31 August 1935 (1935-08-31)  figures 1-3	1,2,4-6, 13-20, 24-30, 33-36, 38,39, 43-51, 63,64, 66-68, 70,72, 75,76, 83-85
X	US 3 265 282 A (MAXWELL STUART L) 9 August 1966 (1966-08-09)  figures	1,2,4-6, 13-15, 19-21, 24,25, 44,45, 47-52, 55,63, 67,68, 70,71, 73, 75-77, 83-85
X	US 6 363 696 B1 (TISMA PETAR) 2 April 2002 (2002-04-02)	1,2,4-6, 13-20, 24-30, 32,33, 36-39, 42, 44-47, 52,63, 67,68, 70,72, 75,76, 81-85
Y	figures 1,2	31,34, 86-93

-/--

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	GB 2 079 252 A (METAL BOX CO LTD) 20 January 1982 (1982-01-20)	1,2,4-6, 13-21, 24-29, 33, 36-38, 40,42, 44-47, 52,54, 63,67, 68, 70-72, 75,76, 81-85
Y	figures 4-6	41
X	US 4 913 292 A (FIELD DAVID L) 3 April 1990 (1990-04-03)	1,2,4-6, 13-17, 19-21, 24,25, 44-48, 52,54, 63,64, 67,68, 70-73, 75-77, 83-85
A	figure 4	80

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/IB2004/001537

## Box II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This International Search Report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☒ Claims Nos.:  
because they relate to parts of the International Application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful International Search can be carried out, specifically:  
see FURTHER INFORMATION sheet PCT/ISA/210
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:  
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

## Box III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this International Search Report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this International Search Report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:

Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

## FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 210

Continuation of Box II.2

Claims Nos.: -

In view of the large number and the wording of the claims presently on file, which render it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, the present application fails to comply with the clarity and conciseness requirements of Article 6 PCT (see also Rule 6.1(a) PCT) to such an extent that a meaningful search is impossible.

Claims 14, 24, 26, 44, 49, 63, 67, 80, 81 (resp. 89 to 95) are written as dependent claims, but include also the possibility of comprising only the features of the preamble of claim 1 (resp. 86), in which case they are in fact independent. There results a lack of clarity of said claims, in that their scope cannot be unambiguously determined.

Consequently, the search has been carried out for those parts of the application which do appear to be clear (and concise), namely disregarding the words "or according to the preamble of claim 1 (resp. 86)" in claims 14, 24, 26, 44, 49, 63, 67, 80, 81 (resp. 89 to 95).

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
GB 2371289	A	24-07-2002	NONE	
BE 410524	A		NONE	
US 3265282	A	09-08-1966	NONE	
US 6363696	B1	02-04-2002	US 6195959 B1 US 6223507 B1	06-03-2001 01-05-2001
GB 2079252	A	20-01-1982	NONE	
US 4913292	A	03-04-1990	NONE	